



JUNGTINIS TECHINIS SEKRETORIATAS

ORIGINALAS SIUNČIAMAS NEBUS



Iš dalies finansuoja Europos Sąjunga

Šilalės rajono savivaldybės administracija
J. Basanavičiaus g. 2, LT-75138 Šilalė
p. Vaida Jocyte-Kiaulakienė
E. paštas: vaida.kiaulakiene@silale.lt
Faks. +37044976118

2020-01-30 Nr. P- 88
I 2020-01-28 Nr. ESS-5(8.1.5)

Šilalės rajono savivaldybės administracija
GAUTA

2020 m. sausio 30 d.
Nr. ESS-5(8.1.5)

**DĖL PROJEKTO LT-RU-2-101 "THE INTERGENERATIONAL CROSS-BORDER
DIALOGUE AS A WAY TO THE SOCIAL INTEGRATION OF LOCAL COMMUNITIES"
KOKYBĖS VERTINIMO REZULTATŲ**

Jūsų prašymu teikiame informaciją apie projekto Nr. LT-RU-2-101 "The Intergenerational Cross-Border Dialogue as a Way to the Social Integration of Local Communities" kokybės vertinimo rezultatus.

Jeigu turite klausimų, prašome kreiptis į Jungtinį techninį sekretoriatą.
PRIDĖJAMA. Informacija apie projekto vertinimą, 2 lapai.

Direktorė

Auksė Bernadišienė

Aistė Žukauskė, padalinio vadovė, e. p.: aiste.zukauske@eni-cbc.eu, tel. +370 620 31093

Viešoji įstaiga
Konstitucijos pr. 7,
LT-09308 Vilnius
Tel. (8 5) 261 0477
Faks. (8 5) 261 0498

info@vilniusjts.eu
www.vilniusjts.eu

Įmonės kodas 300075874
„Swedbank“, AB
LT 96 7300 0100 8797 0053

Duomenys kaupiami ir
saugomi Juridinių
asmenų registre

Application title: "THE INTERGENERATIONAL CROSS-BORDER DIALOGUE AS A WAY TO THE SOCIAL INTEGRATION OF LOCAL COMMUNITIES"

Project number: LT-RU-2-101

SUBJECT: INFORMATION ON THE QUALITY ASSESSMENT OF THE APPLICATION

With the reference to your letter No ESS-5(8.1.5), dated on the 28th of January, 2020 the Joint Technical Secretariat (hereinafter – the JTS) informs you that your application LT-RU-2-101 "The Intergenerational Cross-Border Dialogue as a Way to the Social Integration of Local Communities" (hereinafter – application) was not selected for award of a grant award due to the following reasons.

Your application has received the score of 61 points in the course of the quality assessment that was held in accordance with the criteria set in the Section 6.2. "Quality assessment of the Applications" of the Guidelines for Applicants and Beneficiaries (hereinafter – the Guidelines). It shall be noted, that in case the application receives less than 20 scores for the section "Relevance", it is rejected on this sole basis. According to the Programme rules, in order to be financed from the Programme the application has to receive the minimum score 70. Thus, the application was not recommended for funding and subsequently was not approved by the Joint Monitoring Committee.

For more information regarding the evaluation and selection procedure, please refer to the Section 6. "Evaluation and Selection of Applications" and Section 6.5. "Notification on the JMC Decision" of the Guidelines.

Please find below the overall conclusion of the quality assessment for the above-mentioned application:

The project idea to promote social inclusion through development of a joint model of intergenerational centres, to establish place for leisure, sport, education, cultural activities and social service centre for regional population is relevant to Programme objectives and planned outputs and results contribute to the Programme objectives; it targets to cover the needs of local inhabitants and emphasized vulnerable groups. Lead Beneficiary and Beneficiary No 2 have sufficient project management experience and have implemented few international and cross-border character projects. Both organisations are municipalities with sufficient management and financial capacities, more to this, the partnership is relevant to solve the problem identified in the local level of municipalities.

However, in order to implement the project and secure the sustainability of project activities, the partners need to establish intergenerational and social centres with appropriate financial and operational capacities; unfortunately the specific information on these centers is not provided in the application and the durability of the project results is under the risk; in addition, social centers, where the works are planned to be carried out, are not involved in the project as partners, thus the sustainability of cooperation between project beneficiaries is under the risk either.

The cross-border cooperation is not sufficiently and clearly elaborated as only the need to share experience and to develop one joint model for social interaction in the targeted regions in the established social centers is explained in the application. At the same time, the joint model is planned to include 5 modules, while the volume of the document planned to be of only 20 pages scope, which does not entirely demonstrate a comprehensive approach.

Project problem addressed is defined, however the proposed solution and action plan require more detailed specification and explanation on links between soft activities and planned renovation works of infrastructure.

The project methodology is well constructed and consequently described, though misses specific information on each planned activity, and its contribution to the specific results and overall objective of the project is not provided. In the description of activities there is no clear information on how the different generations shall be involved in different social activities and how their cooperation shall contribute to the project idea; more to this, calculated target groups are not fully involved in the planned activities as the number of participants of activities is lower than the targeted number. The indicators for overall objective and specific objectives need to be explained and clarified, as the set indicators in the logical framework are not fully in line with the formulated objectives as well as the sources for verifications are not specified in the logical framework.

Project management is planned to be performed on 2 levels and both levels are sufficiently defined and its structures are described; however the management activities need to be specified by providing more detailed description of performed tasks. Communication plan contains necessary outputs and means, however the project target groups are different and appropriate means and communication channels for different groups are not identified separately in the appropriate section of application, thus it is still unclear for assessors how the information on project shall reach the younger generation.

Project budget planned is reasonable to the scheduled activities; project outcomes, such as the planned renovation of premises and establishment of infrastructure, constitute the largest part of the project budget. However, the investment part is not precisely specified, the equipment planned to be acquired in the project is not quantified in the budget and the quantities of supplies are not even described in the activities part. Costs planned for experts, lectures and external services for development of joint models on Lithuanian and Russian side are different almost twice and clear explanations and justifications are not provided in the budget.

For more information please contact the JTS.